Document 14

Filed 01/18/2008

Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOSEPH ABBOUD MANUFACTURING CORP., on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,

Civil Action No. 07-CIV-10641 USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 1/18/200 8

٧.

WILLIAM PRYM GMBH & CO., KG; et al.

STIPULATION OF EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

COME NOW Plaintiff and Defendants, William Prym GmbH & Co. KG, Prym Consumer USA, Inc., Prym Fashion, Inc., YKK Corporation of America Inc., YKK (U.S.A.) Inc., YKK Snap Fasteners America, Inc., Coats plc, Coats North America de Republica Dominicana, Inc., and Scovill Fasteners Inc ("Defendants"), in the above-styled civil action, and by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate that the time within which the Defendants must file a response to the Class Action Complaint is hereby extended until at least 45 days after: (1) the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation rules on the pending motions and (2) Plaintiff files and serves a consolidated class action complaint. If any answer or other responsive pleading is filed by Defendants in any other action arising out of the same transactions and occurrences before the date required by this stipulation, or if any documents are produced in such action, Defendants will file their answer or responsive pleading, and shall produce such documents, in this matter concurrently. In no event shall the Defendants' time to respond to the class action complaint or a consolidated class action complaint, if any, be due prior to the time that a response is due for any defendant that is not a party to this stipulation, except that not more than 30 days shall thereby be added to the time that Defendants would otherwise be required to respond pursuant to this stipulation.

By entering into this stipulation, Defendants have not waived their rights with respect to any potential defenses in this litigation, including but not limited to assertion of jurisdictional defenses, except as to the sufficiency of service of process.

2

So stipulated, this // th day of January, 2008.

Robert Kaplan KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP 850 Third Avenue, 14th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 687-1980 rkaplan@kaplanfox.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Joseph F. Wayland SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP 425 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017 (212) 455-2000 jwayland@stblaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants Coats plc, and Couts North America de Republica Dominicana, Inc..

Scott Martin WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Pifth Avenue New York, New York 10153 (212) 310-8000 scott.martin@weil.com

Attorneys for Defendants William Prym GmbH & Co. KG, Prym Consumer USA, Inc., and Prym Fashton, Inc.

John Shin MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178 (212) 309-6844 jshin@morganlewis.com

Attorneys for Defendants YKK Corporation of America Inc., YKK (U.S.A.) Inc., and YKK Snup Fasterers America, Inc.

John G. Despite
SMITH G. And SMELL & RUSSHIL, LLP
John G. Despite
1239 Feeditives division 1919
Aniantia, Georgia (1039) 5392
(169) 815-3300
Jelaphot@agrines.com
Attorney for Definition Scivil Passiners. Inc. n order